Case3:12-cv-05667-EMC Document352 Filed10/07/14 Page2 of 2

would result from an order requiring Abhyanker to reimburse Jay's expenses. First, Nextdoor.com has objected to producing Jay for a deposition, so Abhyanker has not received the benefit of his discovery request. Dkt. No. 351 at 2. Second, the request for reimbursement is at least several weeks tardy and Nextdoor.com has not established good cause for an extension. Expert discovery closed September 2, and any motion to compel was required within seven days of the deadline. Civil L.R. 37-3. Because injustice would result from a reimbursement of Jay's expenses, Nextdoor.com's request is denied. Abhyanker's request for an award of attorney's fees in responding to the motion is also denied, as Abhyanker shares responsibility for delaying the Jay deposition at the last minute, which increased her expenses. Any party may object to this nondispositive order, but must do so within 14 days. Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(a); Civil L.R. 72-2. IT IS SO ORDERED. Date: October 7, 2014 Nathanael M. Cousins United States Magistrate Judge